TABLE OF CONTENTS.

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED.

	PAGE
Adams et al., Administrators, v. Cowen et al., Trustees.	800
American Refrigerator Transit Company v. Hall	70
Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fé Railroad Company v.	
Matthews	96
Atlantic Lumber Company v. L. Bucki and Southern	
Lumber Company	803
Auten v. United States National Bank of New York .	125
Bank of Commerce, Louisville v	428
Bank of Commerce, Stone v	412
Bank of Kentucky, Louisville v	799
Bank of Kentucky, Stone v	799
Bank of Louisville, Louisville v	439
Bank of Louisville, Stone, Auditor, v	439
Board of County Commissioners of Pratt County, Kan-	-
sas, v. Society for Savings	801
Board of County Commissioners of Scott County, Kan-	
sas, v. State of Kansas	799
Bosworth v. St. Louis Terminal Railroad Association .	182
Brightwood Railway Company v. O'Neal	46
.* -	,
Campbell, Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railway	
Company v	718
Capital Traction Company v. Hof	1
Central Trust Company of New York, Trustee, v. State	
of Minnesota	803
Chemical National Bank, McDonald, Receiver, v	610
Cherokee Nation, Stephens v	445

							STER
Chicago, Milwaukee and St. I	Paul :	Railw	ray C	ompa	iny 4		
Clark	•	•	•	•	•	-	800
Chicago, Rock Island and Pa	cific	Raily	vay C	lompa	any		
Campbell :	•	•	•	•	•.		718
Chicago, Rock Island and Pa	cific	Raily	vay C	$\mathbf{lomp}_{\mathbf{i}}$	any		
Sturm	• ,	•	•	•	•	• ,	710
Chickasaw Nation v. Robinson	ı.	•	• *	•	•	•	445
Choctaw Nation v. Robinson			• .		• ′	•	445
Church, Metropolitan Railway	y Cor	npany	v.			•	46
Citizens' National Bank, Louis	sville	v.		•		•	436
Citizens' National Bank v. Sto	one, A	Audit	or			•	436
City of Milwaukee v. Shailer:				Jompa	any	•	802
City of New Orleans v. Fisher			•	. •			802
Clark, Chicago, Milwaukee ar		. Pau	l Rai	ilway	Cor	n-	
pany v	•	•	•	•	•	•	800
Coblens, Davis v	•	•	•		•	•	719
Coe, United States v	•			•	•	•	578
Cœur d'Alene Railway and	Nav	rigatio	on C	ompa	ny	v.	
Spalding		•	•				801
Cohn v. Daley	•	•		•			539
Columbus Construction Comp	any a	. Cra	ne Co	mpar	1 y		600
Columbus Construction Co					Co	n-	
pany		•			. 6	03,	803
Concord First National Bank	v. Ha	awkin	s			•	364
Cosgrove v. Winney .				•			64.
Cowen et al., Trustees, Adam	ıs et e	zł., A	dmini	istrat	ors.	v.	800
Crane Company, Columbus C							600
Crane Company, Columbus Co						603.	
Creek Nation, Johnson v.	_			rJ	_		445
0.00.	•	٠.	•	•	٠.		
Daley, Cohn v	•	• '		•	•		539
Davis v. Coblens			•	•		•	719
De Lacey, Northern Pacific H	Railw	ay Co	mpar	ıv v.			622
Deposit Bank of Frankfort, S			•				800
Des Moines, McCain v	•	•	•	•	•		168
Dillingham, Moran v							153
District of Columbia, Humph	ries v) .			•		190
Dudley, United States v.			•				670
	•	-	-	•	_	•	

						1
Farmers' Bank of Kent	ucky a	. Stone.	Audi	tor		. 4
Farmers' Bank of Kent						. 4
Fenton Metallic Manu					e Sr	
cialty Manufacturi						. 4
Fidelity Trust and Safe				. Loui	sville	
Fidelity Trust and Sa						
Auditor	LLCby	vauro C	ompa.	.,	2002	. 4
Finlayson, Florida Mo	• ntmame	and Inv	· rastma	nt Cor	• nnan	
Limited, v	ugage	and inv	COOLING	no coi	Pwu	. 8
First National Bank of	Chico	eo Mita	hall a	• .	:	. 8
First National Bank of					•	. 4
				ще	•	. 4
First National Bank of			rone	•	• : `	
Fisher, City of New O			•	. T:		. {
Florida Mortgage and	Invest	ment Co	mpan	у, тіш	itea,	
Finlayson	·	• •	~ •	•	•	. :
Freeman, Northern Pa	cific K	anroad (Compa	ıny v.	•	. :
a					•	
Gale, Israel v	•	• ,•	٠,	~ 1	• ,•	. :
•	nde li	rigation	and	Color	nzati	
Company v	_•、_	• •	• _			. (
Gildersleeve, Rio Gra	nde L	rrigation	and	Color	iizati	
Company v	•		•	•	•	. (
Gould v . Hughes .	•		•	•	• `	. :
						
H, Bacharach and Con					••	. 8
Hall, American Refrig				any v.	•	•
Hawkins, Concord Firs			nk v.	•	•	. :
Hof, Capital Traction	${\tt Compa}$	ny v	•	•	•	•
Hoffman, McMullen v.	•		•	• '	•	. (
Holmes v. Hurst .	•		•	•	•	•
Hughes, Gould v			•	•		
Humphries v. District	of Coli	ımbia		. •		•
Hurst, Holmes v.	_			•		
	-	•		•	-	-
Improved Order of Re	ed Me	n's Hall	Assoc	ciation	. Ove	er-
weight Counterbal						. ;
Insurance Company of						
Prussia	77 TAOT					
· I TUSSIA · ·	•		•	•	•	• '

								PAGE
Israel v. Gale	•	•	•	• .	•	•	•	391
Jakobsen v. Springer	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	802
Johnson v. Creek Nation	l	•	•	•	•	•.	•	44 5
Kansas, State of, Board		Coun	ty C	omm	ission	ers c	f	
Scott County, Kans		•	•	•	•	•	•	799
Kentucky Bank Tax Cas	ses	•	•	•	•	•	•	408
Kimball v. Kimball	•	•			•	•	•	158
Kimball, Kimball v.		•	:	•	•	•		158
King Iron Bridge and I	Manu	factur	ing (Comp	any,	Trav	is ·	
County v	•	•	•		•	•		801
Kirby v. United States	•	•		•	•	•		47
Krall, United States v.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	385
	•			•				
L. Bucki and Southern I	umbe	er Cor	npan	y, At	lantio	3 Lun	1 -	
ber Company v.		•	•	•				803
Lea, West Company v.								590
Leovy v. United States	•							801
Leovy, White v		•			•			91
Louisville v. Bank of Co.	mmer	ce					•	428
Louisville v. Bank of Ke							_	799
Louisville v. Bank of Lou		•	_		_		_	439
Louisville v. Citizens' Na			ık	•	Ţ	•	•	436
Louisville, Fidelity Trus				anlt (Comr	· nanv 4	77.	429
Louisville, First Nationa						·uiiy		438
Louisville v. Louisville B						•,	•	800
Louisville, Louisville Tru					•	•,		429
Louisville v. Third Natio			.,	•	•	•	•	435
Louisville Banking Com			· sville	a)	•	•	•	800
Louisville Banking Con					ew A	Alban	• V	000
and Chicago Railwa							J	552
Louisville Banking Com	nantr	Ston	00	2010101	101, 0	•	•	799
Louisville, New Albany	ond (noid!	υ. Το ΤΟ α	.:1,,,,,,		•	•	100
Louisville Trust Con			ZO TV	шwa	у Сод	прац	,	674
Louisville, New Albany			• ••• D•		• • 0	•	•	014
						црацу	γ,	220
Petitioner, v. Louisv	тие г	oankii	ug U	ompa	цу	•	•	552

m A	T.T	Ω	CONTENTS	
. I. W	DITE.	un	CONTRACTS.	

ix

Ť	J OL	• ·	D.:1—.	A.			PAGE
Louisville, New Albany					mpar	ъ,	220
Petitioner, v. Louisv.				•	•	•	552
Louisville Trust Compan				•		•	429
Louisville Trust Compa			ville, l	New .	Alba	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{y}$	
and Chicago Railwa				•	•	•	674
Louisville Trust Compar	ıy, Loı	isville	, New	Alba	ny a	\mathbf{nd}	
Chicago Railway Co	mpan	7, Peti	tioner,	v.	• .	•	552
Louisville Trust Compan	y v. St	one, A	udito	•	•	•	429
McCain v. Des Moines							168
McCann, Missouri, Kans	as and	Texas	Railw	av v.			580
McDonald, Receiver, v.							610
McDonald, Receiver, v.				_	_		397
McMullen v. Hoffman			-	•	•	•	639
Manhattan Trust Com	nanv.	Sions	City	O'N	e Ilic	nd.	000
Western Railway C			Oroj,	0 111	JIII 6	ona	801
Matthews, Atchison, To	omeka.	and S	Santa	Fá F	· Railre	oad	001
Company v	oponu	wii k	Janua	10 1	omiti (Jau	96
Metropolitan Railway C	omnan	τα Cl	hurch	•	•	•	46
Milwaukee, City of, v. S				C	•		802
Minnesota, State of, Ce	ntrol	Truet	Comr	au O	ът Рифа	om.	002
York, Trustee, v.	muai	111120	Comp	any c	71 TA	G W	803
Missouri, Kansas and	Toyor	Doil	•	o. Oomn	•	•	000
McCann	Teyas	Lan	way.	Сощр	ацу	v.	580
Mitchell v. First Nations	Doni	r of Mi	hionmo	•	•	•	
Moloney, Nelson v	и ъщ	r or O	nicago	•	•	•	802
	•	•	•	•	•	•	164
Moran v. Dillingham	•	•	•	•	•	•	153
Morris v. United States	•	•	•	•	•	•	196
National City, San Dieg	go Lan	d and	Town	Com	pany	v.	739
Nelson v . Moloney .		•	• ,	•	•	•	164
New Mexico v . United S	tates 1	Crust C	ompa	ny	•	•	545
New Orleans, City of, v.			•	•	•		802
Northern Pacific Railroa	d Con	ipany	v. Fre	eman	•	٠	379
Northern Pacific Railwa	у Сощ	pany a). De l	Lacey	٠.	•	622
Oakes v. United States							778

Office Specialty Manufactu	ring	Com	pany	v.	Fente	on '
Metallic Manufacturing	Comp	any	•	•	•	. 4
Olinde Rodrigues, The .	•		•			. !
One Distillery et al., United	States	v.	•	•	•	•
O'Neal, Brightwood Railway			v.			
Overweight Counterbalance	Eleva	itor	Comp	any	v. L	m-
proved Order of Red Me						
T	•					
Packer, Whittier v.	• ,	• •	•	•	•	• 3
Price v. United States and Q	sage 1	.naiai	ıs	• .	•	
Raton, Raton Water Works	Comn	anv -	21.		_	
Raton Water Works Compar				•	•	
Richmond v. Southern Bell				Te	legrai	
Company	-0.01				6	• '
Rio Grande Dam and Irr	igatio	n C	omna	nv.	Unit	
States v	154110	n 0.	ошра.	ц,	OHIO	. (
Rio Grande Irrigation and	Colo	•. mizat	ion (· lom	nanπ	
Gildersleeve	. 0010	TILLECT	IOL () (III	Puny	
Rio Grande Irrigation and	Colo	• nizati	ion (· !omi	· nanv	
Gildersleeve	0010		ion c	, OIII	pany	. (
Robinson, Chickasaw Nation	aı	•	•	•	•	• '
Robinson, Choctaw Nation a		•	٠	•	•	• •
modern matter v).	•	•	•	•	• •
St. Louis Terminal Railroad	Assoc	iatior	ı. Bos	wor	th v .	
San Diego Land and Town C						
Shailer and Schniglau Compa						
Sioux City, O'Neill and We						
Manhattan Trust Compa		•				. :
Society for Savings, Board o		ntv (Jomm	issi	oners	
Pratt County, Kansas, v.		0j \		_		. :
Southern Bell Telephone		Peleo	ranh	Co	• mnan	
Richmond v		- 0108	,- ~P		P.	.,
Spalding, Cœur d'Alene Rai	· lwav	· and ·	· Navio	· ratic	n Co	
pany v	- may	wuu .	-1 0 A 15	aure	л (O)	ш- :
Springer, Jakobsen v	•	•	•	•	•	. :
Springer, carousen v	•	•	•	•	•	
Spurr v. United States .	•	•	•	•	•	•

State of Kansas, Board of C		ommission	ers c	
Scott County, Kansas, v.			•	•
State of Minnesota, Central	Trust Co	mpany of	i Ne	₩ •
York, Trustee, v	• • •		• '	
Steamship Mexican Prince, Ste	einwender	v	•	•
Steamship Prussia, Insurance			Nort	h
America v			•	•
Steinwender v. Steamship Mex	cican Prin	ce .	•	
Stephens v. Cherokee Nation 1	•		•	
Stone v. Bank of Commerce			•	
Stone v. Bank of Kentucky			•	
Stone v. Deposit Bank of Fran	kfort		•	
Stone, First National Bank of		v		
Stone v. Louisville Banking Co				
Stone, Auditor, v. Bank of Lo				
Stone, Auditor, Citizens' Natio		v		
Stone, Auditor, v. Farmers' Ba			_	
Stone, Auditor, Farmers' Bank			_	_
Stone, Auditor, Fidelity Trus			Con	1-
pany v				_
Stone, Auditor, Louisville Trus	st Compa	nv v.		•
Stone, Auditor, Third Nationa			B V.	•
Storrow v. Texas Consolidated				٠.
turing Company .	Compro	55 WEW 141	<i>M</i> LL U.L.	•
Sturm, Chicago, Rock Island	· · · and Pacif	ic Railway	· r Con	•
pany v	anu Laon	io manina	у Ооц	1-
pany v	• •	• •	•	•
Texas Consolidated Compress	and Man	ufacturing	g Con	1-
pany, Storrow v			•	
Third National Bank, Louisvil	le v.			
Third National Bank of Louis		one. Audi	tor	
Travis County v. King Iron B				o.
Company				•
Travis County, Wade v	•	•	-	
Jounny, Hauc V	• •	• •	-	•

 $^{^1}$ 162 Indian cases, involving the same questions, were decided with this case. Their names and numbers will be found in a note on pp. 467-469.

									PAGE.
United States v. (Coe	•	٠.	•		•	•		578
United States v. 1	Dudley	•	•	•	•				670
United States v. I	I. Bacl	arac	h and	Com	ipany	r .			803
United States, Ki		•	•	•					47
United States v. 1			•		•		•		385
United States, Le	ovy v.		•	•					801
United States, Mo						•	•		196
United States, Oa									778
United States v. (stille	r et e	ıl.	•				149
United States v. 1					Irrie	ration	Con	1-	
pany .	•						•		690
United Štates, Sp	urr v.								728
United States and		Indi	ans. I	rice	υ.	_	_	_	373
United States Na						Aute	1 11.	_	125
United States Tru									545
		<i>J</i>	, –			- •	•	•	•==
Wade v. Travis C	ountv	_	_	_		_	_	_	499
West Company v.			•			•	•	•	590
White v . Leovy		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	91
Whittier v. Packe	· r	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	802
Williams, McDon		· ceive	ים מב	•	•	•	•	•	397
Winney, Cosgrove		JOOLV	<i></i>	•	•	•	•	•	64
" inney, cosgrove	5 0.	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	0±
	-								
Appendix									
Summary St	atemen	t of	Busii	ess o	of th	e Si	nrem	e	
Court of t									
1898 .			~ 0000	. 101			~~~	-,	805
NDEX	•		-	-		-	-	•	807